

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ddress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,949	05/04/2005	Shinsuke Sugata	KAS.069	9635	
48234	7590 11/27/2006		EXAM	INER	
MEREK, BLACKMON & VOORHEES, LLC			TALBOT,	TALBOT, MICHAEL	
673 S. WASH ALEXANDRI	INGTON ST IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3722		
			DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	W				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•		
Advisory Action	10/533,949	SUGATA ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael W. Talbot	3722			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress		
THE REPLY FILED 02 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH	HIS APPLICATION IN CONE	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the fol places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a I (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comfollowing time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Active period for reply expire statutory period for reply expire later. 	llowing replies: (1) an amend Notice of Appeal (with appeal opliance with 37 CFR 1.114. of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set	Iment, affidavit, or other evic al fee) in compliance with 37 The reply must be filed withi forth in the final rejection, whicher	dence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mon earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount of statutory period for reply originally	of the fee. The appropriate extensions in the final Office action; or (2)	ion fee under 37 2) as set forth in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further (see NOTE be	consideration and/or search		Decause		
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 					
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $		f Non-Compliant Amendmer	nt (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•			

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. [The request for reconsideration	has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	<u>.</u>	

12.	☐ Note the attached	I Information Disclosure Statement(s).	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)
13.	☐ Other:		

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposal to add a new limitation to independent claims 1 and 2, specifically "whereby atomized lubricant flows into the cutting fluid passages at a flow rate", and a new limitation to dependent claims 5 and 8, specifically "the inserted members have a longitudinal position with respect to the cutting fluid feed passages", raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search since these new limitations were not previously evaluated with the subject matter recited in the respective independent claims and those claims depending therefrom.

In addition, Applicant did not provide the proper status identifier for claims 3,4 and 6, (previously amended) should be (previously presented), and claim 7 (previously added) should be (previously presented).

Acknowledgement of receipt of the two cited Japanese documents not previously furnished by the Applicant or WIPO have been received within Applicant's 02 November 2006 response.